

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,401	11/24/2003	Alfred Siggel	RDH28446USA DIV-2 (P25,32	4112
. 75	90 04/07/2006		EXAM	INER
Honeywell International Inc.			TENTONI, LEO B	
101 Columbia Pike		ADTIBUT	DARCE MUNICED	
P O Box 2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			1732	
DATE MAIL		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/720,401	SIGGEL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply within th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fee. This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicati 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be in the drawing(s) is objected to b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The objection to the specification set forth in the previous Office Action (mailed on 14 September 2005) has been overcome and is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Owens (U.S. Patent 5,321,069 A) for the reasons of record.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schrell et al (U.S. Patent 5,770,110 A) for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owens (U.S. Patent 5,321,069 A) for the reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

8. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schrell et al (U.S. Patent 5,770,110 A) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed on 19 December 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. Applicant argues (page 4) that Owens does not teach that the fiber-forming material (or solution thereof) is miscible with the pigment. Examiner responds that Owens does teach that the fiber-forming material is miscible (i.e., capable of being mixed) with the pigment (e.g., col. 3, lines 38-44 of Owens).
- 11. Applicant argues (page 5) that Schrell et al does not teach an average particle size (of the pigment) of from about 1 micron to about 30 microns. Examiner responds that Schrell et al teach a particle size of "less than 1 micron, in particular 0.5 to 0.7 microns" (col. 2, lines 27-29), which meets the limitation of "from about 1 micron to about 30 microns".
- 12. Applicant argues (page 6) that Owens does not teach viscose as a fiber-forming material. Examiner responds that, while Owens does not explicitly teach viscose as a fiber-forming material, Owens does teach that "the invention is not limited thereto (referring to preferred embodiments) nor is it limited to the particular materials recited in the examples" and, for at least

Art Unit: 1732

this reason, viscose would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Owens.

13. Applicant argues (page 6) that Schrell et al does not teach an average particle size (of the pigment) of from about 1 micron to about 30 microns (applicant appears to be referring to claim 19, which recites an average particle size of from about 5 microns to about 20 microns). Examiner responds that this particular particle size range would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Schrell et al principally in order to produce a fiber having desired characteristics and/or properties (e.g., strength).

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1732

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,401

Art Unit: 1732

Leo B. Tentoni

Page 7

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt